

**Alaska Board of Game Findings**  
**Trapping and Wolf Snaring in Alaska**  
**98-119-BOG**

At its March, 1998 meeting in Fairbanks, the Board of Game considered several proposals that restrict or eliminate the use of snares for harvesting wolves and other trapping concerns. Extensive public testimony and advisory committee reports regarding concern over the reduction or loss of snares as a method of harvesting wolves, and other trapping concerns was also received on both the proposals and the potential ballot initiative banning wolf snaring.

Based on this testimony and information provided by the Division of Wildlife Conservation and the Division of Fish and Wildlife Protection, and considerable deliberation, the BOG makes the following findings:

1. Snares are an important harvest tool for Alaska trappers, and the restriction or removal of that tool will result in personal and financial hardship for trappers and others dependent on the fur trade for their livelihood. In most areas of Alaska, economic opportunities are few, and the inability to harvest wolves with snares will lead to significantly reduced income levels in already depressed communities.
2. The harvest of wolves, through regulated methods and means, is an important management tool used by the Department of Fish and Game and the BOG in maintaining harvestable quantities of big game species, and is considered to be an important factor in the management of those species. Restricting or eliminating the use of snares to harvest wolves will reduce wolf harvest numbers, leading to potential predator to prey ratio imbalances and low moose and caribou densities in many areas.
3. It is strongly substantiated through many years of scientific monitoring and research that wolves are a highly prolific, productive and resilient species, capable of sustaining consistent harvestable surplus rates of over 30% annually on any given wolf pack. The annual reported harvest from Alaska's estimated wolf population of 7000 seldom exceeds 20% in a given area or statewide under existing harvest and management regimes.
4. The source of the data used by snaring opponents and ballot initiative supporters is the result of an intensive wolf trapping and snaring program conducted by the Department of Fish and Game in 1993-1994 in GMU 20A. It can not be considered representative of common trapping practices. Trappers use varying numbers of snares at a set, rarely more than 12, determined by location and prevailing conditions. There is no evidence that trappers use snares set in the manner of a drift net, or that they set snares in multiple heights.
5. The rate of incidental catch by trappers of non-target species such as moose, caribou, eagles, ravens, and bears is very low, due to the careful and exact placement of their snares, and the timing of trapping seasons, in habitats, locations, and configurations that minimize catch of other species. Other species of furbearers caught in wolf snares, such as fox, wolverine and lynx, are desirable and legal, and are not considered to be incidental non-target catches to the trapper.
6. The instances of wolves being caught around other parts of the body, such as the legs and feet are rare. In cases where wolves are caught around the foot, the snare rarely breaks the flesh. Most wolves caught in snares are caught around the neck, leading to swift and humane death. A very small


percentage of wolves are caught around the torso. These wolves are usually still alive when the trapper returns to the set.

7. We heard widespread public support among Alaska residents, particularly those residing in rural areas, for the use of snares by trappers to harvest wolves. There is no evidence to support the notion that the bush communities support a ban on wolf snares.
8. Alaska trappers are conscientious and operate within the laws and regulations governing trapping. Snares are rarely left operable at the end of the season. Snares are valuable to the trapper, and great effort is made to recover snares set in the field.
9. Regulated trap checks are not reasonable in Alaska, considering climatic conditions, length of traplines, and other considerations that would make a time limit impossible to comply with.
10. Trap identification is not warranted at this time. Trappers have experienced harassment by those against trapping and worry about the information being made available to the public. The Alaska Trappers Association assists law enforcement officers in determining who traps belong to. Most traplines are well known by other people and Department staff, further assisting in the identification of those trappers.

The Board of Game found that much of the information used in the claims against snaring came from a specific intensive wolf management program. Many more snares were used per set and higher density of snares were used for a longer season in habitats not normally trapped. The area also had a higher density of moose than most of Alaska. Two grizzly bears were caught before the normal trapping season begins, and two eagles were caught in snares set by helicopter in high terrain.

It is our conclusion that the numbers used by the Alaska Wildlife Alliance and Alaskans Against Snaring Wolves are inflated and do not represent common trapping practices or actual rates of wolf harvest or incidental take of other species.

**ADOPTED DATE: March 26, 1998**  
Fairbanks, Alaska

  
**Lori Quakenbush, Chairman**  
**Alaska Board of Game**